

## SSNV121 - Rotation and traction very-rubber band of a bar

---

### Summary:


This test of quasi-static mechanics consists in making turn of  $90^\circ$  a parallelepipedic bar, to subject it to an important traction for finally letting it return in a discharged state. One thus validates the kinematics of the great deformations very-rubber bands (order `STAT_NON_LINE`, keyword `BEHAVIOR`), and thus in particular great rotations, for a relation of elastic behavior linear.

The bar is modelled by a voluminal element (`HEXA8`, modeling A) or plan (`QUAD4`, assumption of plane deformations, modeling B).

Results got by `Code_Aster` do not differ from the theoretical solution.

## 1 Problem of reference

### 1.1 Geometry



### 1.2 Material properties


Behavior very-rubber band of Coming St - Kirchhoff:

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{\nu E}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \text{tr}(\mathbf{E}) \mathbf{1} + \frac{E}{1+\nu} \mathbf{E} \quad E = 200\,000.\text{MPa}$$

$$\nu = 0.3$$

### 1.3 Boundary conditions and loadings

The loading is applied in two times: first of all, an overall rotation of the structure, followed by a traction in the new configuration:



## 2 Reference solution

### 2.1 Method of calculating used for the reference solution

It is about a problem plan. One can seek the solution in the form of a rigid rotation and a lengthening of a factor  $\lambda$  in the direction  $Y$ .

$$\mathbf{U}(X, Y, Z) = \begin{pmatrix} -X - Y \\ (1 + \lambda)X - Y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The gradient of the transformation and the deformation of Green-Lagrange are then:

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 + \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{E} = \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{avec } e = \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 2)}{2}$$

The relation of behavior leads then to a tensor of Lagrangian constraints diagonal:

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_{xx} \\ S_{yy} \\ S_{zz} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(1 - \nu) E}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)} e \\ \frac{\nu E}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)} e \\ \frac{\nu E}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)} e \end{pmatrix}$$

The boundary condition of the equilibrium equation then enables us to determine the value of lengthening  $\lambda$  :

$$T = (\mathbf{FS})_{yx} = (1 + \lambda) S_{xx} \Rightarrow \frac{(1 - \nu) E}{(1 + \nu)(1 - 2\nu)} \frac{\lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)}{2} = T$$

The constraint of Cauchy is given by:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\text{Det } \mathbf{F}} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{F}^T \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xx} \\ \sigma_{yy} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{zz} \\ (1 + \lambda) S_{xx} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{S_{yy}}{1 + \lambda} \\ S_{xx} \end{pmatrix}$$

Lastly, the force exerted on the faces:

- [2.4]:  $\mathbf{F}_y = \sigma_{yy} S_{[2,4]} = \sigma_{yy} S_{o[2,4]}$
- [4.3]:  $\mathbf{F}_x = \sigma_{xx} S_{[4,3]} = \sigma_{xx} (1 + \lambda) S_{o[4,3]}$
- [1,2,3,4]:  $\mathbf{F}_z = \sigma_{zz} S_{[1,2,3,4]} = \sigma_{zz} (1 + \lambda) S_{o[1,2,3,4]}$

where  $S_{o\{\}}$  initial surfaces of the faces represent.

## 2.2 Results of reference

One adopts like results of reference displacements, the constraint of Cauchy and the force exerted on the faces [2.4] and [4.3].

At time  $t=2$  s :

One seeks  $T$  such as lengthening  $\lambda = 0.1$

that is to say  $T = 31\,096.154$  MPa .

The constraint of Cauchy is then:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{xx} &= \sigma_{zz} = 11\,013.986 \text{ MPa} \\ \sigma_{yy} &= 31\,096.154 \text{ MPa} \end{aligned}$$

The exerted forces are:

$$\begin{aligned} F_x &= 12\,115.385 \times S_{o[4,3]} \text{ N} \\ F_y &= 31\,096.154 \times S_{o[2,4]} \text{ N} \\ F_z &= 12\,115.385 \times S_{o[1,2,3,4]} \text{ N} \end{aligned}$$

At time  $t=3$  s :

The bar returned in its initial state:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= 0 \\ \sigma &= 0 \\ \mathbf{F} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

## 2.3 Uncertainty on the solution

Analytical solution.

## 2.4 Bibliographical references

- 1) Eric LORENTZ "a nonlinear relation of behavior hyperelastic" Notes intern EDF/DER HI - 74/95/011/0



## 3.3 Sizes tested and results


Identification	Reference	Aster	% difference
T = 2 Displacement DX (NO2)	100	100	0
T = 2 Displacement DY (NO4)	1100	1100	0
T = 2 Constraints SIGXX (PG1)	11013.986	11013.986	0
T = 2 Constraints SIGYY (PG1)	31096.154	31096.154	0
T = 2 Constraints SIGZZ (PG1)	11013.986	11013.986	0
T = 2 Constraints SIGXY (PG1)	0	$\square 10^{-9}$	/
T = 2 Constraints SIGXZ (PG1)	0	$\square 10^{-10}$	/
T = 2 Constraints SIGYZ (PG1)	0	$\square 10^{-10}$	/
<hr/>			
T = 3 Displacement DX10 (NO2)	0	$\square 10^{-11}$	/
T = 3 Displacement DY (NO4)	0	$\square 10^{-12}$	/
T = 3 Constraints SIGXX (PG1)	0	$\square 10^{-9}$	/
T = 3 Constraints SIGYY (PG1)	0	$\square 10^{-10}$	/
T = 3 Constraints SIGZZ (PG1)	0	$\square 10^{-9}$	/
T = 3 Constraints SIGXY (PG1)	0	$\square 10^{-11}$	/
T = 3 Constraints SIGXZ (PG1)	0	$\square 10^{-10}$	/
T = 3 Constraints SIGYZ (PG1)	0	$\square 10^{-11}$	/
<hr/>			
T = 2 Nodal force DX (NO8)	$3.0289 \cdot 10^9$	$3.0288 \cdot 10^9$	-0,002%
T = 2 Nodal force DY (NO8)	$7,774 \cdot 10^9$	$7,774 \cdot 10^9$	0
T = 2 Nodal force DZ (NO8)	$3.0289 \cdot 10^9$	$3.0288 \cdot 10^9$	-0,002%

## 3.4 Remarks

### Calculation of the nodal force:

The force applied  $F$  on a face described by a linear mesh is distributed by:


$$F_{\text{noeud}} = \frac{1}{4} F$$



## 4 Modeling B


### 4.1 Characteristics of modeling

Modeling 2D plane deformations



Boundary conditions:


3 : DX = 0                      DY = 0  
1 : DX = - 1 000 R(t)        DY = - 1 000 R(t)  
2 : DX = - 2 000 R(t)  
4 : DX = - 1 000 R(t)



Loading:

Traction on the face [2,4]

mesh [2,4] :  $FY = 31\,096.154 f(t) \text{ MPa}$



### 4.2 Characteristics of the grid

Many nodes: 4                      Many meshes: 2  
1 QUAD4  
1 SEG2

### 4.3 Sizes tested and results

Identification	Reference	Aster	% difference
T = 2 Displacement DX (NO2)	100	100	0

Warning : The translation process used on this website is a "Machine Translation". It may be imprecise and inaccurate in whole or in part and is provided as a convenience.

Copyright 2019 EDF R&D - Licensed under the terms of the GNU FDL (<http://www.gnu.org/copyleft/fdl.html>)

T = 2	Displacement DY (NO4)	1100	1100	0
T = 2	Constraints SIGXX (PG1)	11013.986	11013.986	0
T = 2	Constraints SIGYY (PG1)	31096.154	31096.154	0
T = 2	Constraints SIGZZ (PG1)	11013.986	11013.986	0
T = 2	Constraints SIGXY (PG1)	0	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 <sup>-10</sup>	/
T = 3	Displacement DX (NO2)	0	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 <sup>-12</sup>	/
T = 3	Displacement DY (NO4)	0	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 <sup>-12</sup>	/
T = 3	Constraints SIGXX (PG1)	0	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 <sup>-10</sup>	/
T = 3	Constraints SIGYY (PG1)	0	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 <sup>-10</sup>	/
T = 3	Constraints SIGZZ (PG1)	0	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 <sup>-10</sup>	/
T = 3	Constraints SIGXY (PG1)	0	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 <sup>-10</sup>	/
T = 2	Nodal force DX (NO4)	6.0577 10 <sup>6</sup>	6.0577 10 <sup>6</sup>	0
T = 2	Nodal force DY (NO4)	15.5481 10 <sup>6</sup>	15.5481 10 <sup>6</sup>	0

## 4.4 Remarks

### Calculation of the nodal force:

The force applied  $F$  on a face described by a linear mesh is distributed by:

$$F_{noeud} = \frac{1}{2} F$$





## 5 Summary of the results

---

It appears at the conclusion of this test that the digital solution coincides remarkably with the analytical solution. One will notice however that the strong non linearity due to great rotations requires a relatively fine discretization in time, without being penalizing on the precision since, contrary to an incremental law of behavior, the errors do not cumulate a step of time on the other.