

## SSNV171 – Intercomparison of the behaviors MONOCRISTAL AND POLYCRISTAL

---

### Summary:

The purpose of this test is to validate the behaviors MONOCRISTAL and POLYCRISTAL by intercomparison, in a typical location: only one grain for MONOCRISTAL and only one phase for POLYCRISTAL. The crystalline behavior is of the type MONO\_VISC1, MONO\_CINE1, MONO\_ISOT1 (Meric-Cailletaud).

The treated geometry is a material point, and the crystal is not parallel to the axes of coordinates.

3 modelings make it possible to compare:

- behaviors MONOCRISTAL (various algorithms of integration implicit, modelings A and B)
- behaviors MONOCRISTAL and POLYCRISTAL with a grain, with explicit integration, like the postprocessing of Weibull (modeling C).

## 1 Problem of reference

---

### 1.1 Geometry

Not material.

### 1.2 Properties of materials

Young modulus:  $E = 145200 \text{ MPa}$

Poisson's ratio:  $\nu = 0.3$

MONO\_VISC1

$N = 10$

$K = 40$

$C = 1$

MONO\_ISOT1

$R_0 = 75.5$

$B = 19.34$

$Q = 9.77$

$H = 0$

MONO\_CINE1

$D = 36.68$

WEIBULL with :

$M = 24$ .

VOLU\_REFE = 1.E-3

SIGM\_REFE = 2630.

The selected orientation is (30,0,0).

### 1.3 Boundary conditions and loadings

The loading consists in imposing a component of constraints  $\sigma_{xx}$  increasing, worthless at moment 0. and varying linearly up to 210 MPa at moment 1.5.

## 2 Reference solution

---

### 2.1 Method of calculating

This test proceeds by intercomparison: behaviors MONOCRISTAL (for only one grain) on the one hand, and POLYCRISTAL in addition must give the same results.

Modeling A provides the values of reference used by modeling B to compare the various implicit algorithms.

With regard to modeling C, with integration clarifies, the selected reference is the solution provided by EXPLICIT MONOCRISTAL, with which the case is compared POLYCRISTAL. These values are slightly different from those obtained into implicit (modelings A and B) because of the relatively coarse temporal discretization.

# Code\_Aster

Version  
default

Titre : SSNV171 - Comparaison MONOCRISTAL et POLYCRISTAL  
Responsable : HABOUSSA David

Date : 23/09/2013 Page : 3/5  
Clé : V6.04.171 Révision :  
23c69263a5d7

## 3 Modeling A

---

### 3.1 Characteristics of modeling

Not material; the selected orientation is (30,0,0).  
The behavior is MONOCRISTAL with implicit integration.

### 3.2 Sizes tested and results

Results of MONOCRISTAL into implicit (Newton)

Identification	Reference
$\sigma_{xx}$ of SIEF_ELGA	210
$\epsilon_{xx}$ of EPSI_ELGA	1.8913169223994E-03
$\epsilon_{yy}$ of EPSI_ELGA	-5.0273159559248E-04
$V_2$ of VARI_ELGA	-6.884729831599E-05
$V_{58}$ of VARI_ELGA (rotation of network)	-1.8809431585402E-04

Note: Values of nonregression used as reference for modeling B.

## 4 Modeling B

---

### 4.1 Characteristics of modeling

Not material; the selected orientation is (30,0,0).  
The behavior is MONOCRISTAL with implicit integration (other algorithms).

### 4.2 Sizes tested and results

The values of reference are those obtained with MONOCRISTAL implicit (ALGO\_INTE='NEWTON') modeling A.

Results got with ALGO\_INTE=' NEWTON\_PERT '

Identification	Reference	Tolerance (%)
$\sigma_{xx}$ of SIEF_ELGA	210	1.E-4
$\epsilon_{xx}$ of EPSI_ELGA	1.8913169223994E-03	1.E-4
$\epsilon_{yy}$ of EPSI_ELGA	-5.0273159559248E-04	1.E-4
$V_2$ of VARI_ELGA	-6.884729831599E-05	1.E-4
$V_{58}$ of VARI_ELGA	-1.8809431585402E-04	1.E-4

Results got with ALGO\_INTE=' NEWTON\_RELI '

Identification	Reference	Tolerance (%)
$\sigma_{xx}$ of SIEF_ELGA	210	1.E-4
$\epsilon_{xx}$ of EPSI_ELGA	1.8913169223994E-03	1.E-4
$\epsilon_{yy}$ of EPSI_ELGA	-5.0273159559248E-04	1.E-4
$V_2$ of VARI_ELGA	-6.884729831599E-05	1.E-4
$V_{58}$ of VARI_ELGA	-1.8809431585402E-04	1.E-4

## 5 Modeling C

---

### 5.1 Characteristics of modeling

Not material; the selected orientation is (30,0,0).

The behavior is MONOCRISTAL or POLYCRISTAL with explicit integration.

### 5.2 Sizes tested and results

Results got with MONOCRISTAL into explicit, ALGO\_INTE=' RUNGE\_KUTTA '

Identification	Reference	Tolerance (%)
$\sigma_{xx}$ of SIEF_ELGA	210	0.1
$\varepsilon_{xx}$ of EPSI_ELGA	1.8913169223994E-03	0.6
$\varepsilon_{yy}$ of EPSI_ELGA	-5.0273159559248E-04	0.4

Results got with POLYCRISTAL into explicit, ALGO\_INTE=' RUNGE\_KUTTA '

Identification	Reference	Tolerance (%)
$\sigma_{xx}$ of SIEF_ELGA	210	0.1
$\varepsilon_{xx}$ of EPSI_ELGA	1.8913169223994E-03	0.6
$\varepsilon_{yy}$ of EPSI_ELGA	-5.0273159559248E-04	0.4

## 6 Summary of the results

---

The results got with implicit integration are identical some is the algorithm used, and in concord with the solution of explicit integration (0.6 % of maximum change). Moreover, results got with the behavior POLYCRISTAL are identical (in the case of an only phase) to those obtained with MONOCRISTAL.